

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/700,587	HURLEY ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen G. Sherman	2629	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Stephen G. Sherman. (3) Jason Vick.

(2) Amr Awad. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 February 2007

Time: 3 pm

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 14, 20 and 27-28

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiners brought up concerns regarding the patentability of the claims. The applicant's representative then proposed possible claim amendments to alleviate these concerns.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

AMR A. AWAD
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)